

It has been stated that Sinclair Broadcasting's decision to force their stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election is a clear example of the dangers of media consolidation.

I don't know enough about the dangers of media consolidation to speak intelligently on that subject but it has the ring of truth to me. And if, as I've been told, Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, then the decision to air a "documentary" specifically to present a presidential candidate in a negative way, is blatantly wrong.

To air a biased documentary as "news" is an egregious abuse of the public trust. I chose to go to the theater and pay money to see "Fahrenheit 911." I knew though, that this movie was not a dispassionate presentation of all the facts. How will people who rely on the news to form their opinions know the difference?

Don't we have laws that address the spreading of propaganda? If not, I guess we are doomed to be a nation of the uneducated and our destiny placed in the hands of those with their own interests at heart.